

FILED

1 KEVIN V. RYAN (CSBN 118321)
United States Attorney

2 EUMI L. CHOI (WVBN 0722)
3 Acting Chief, Criminal Division

4 MERRY JEAN CHAN (CSBN 229254)
Assistant United States Attorney

5 1301 Clay Street, Suite 340S
6 Oakland, CA, 94612
7 Telephone: (510) 637-3703
8 Telefax: (510) 637-3724
Email: merry.chan@usdoj.gov

9 Attorneys for Plaintiff

CHAMBERLAIN COPY

FILED

JUL 08 2005

RICHARD W. WIEKING
CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

10 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
11 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
12 OAKLAND DIVISION

13 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

14 Plaintiff,

15 v.

16 WILLIAM GLENN OLSEN,

17 Defendant.
18

No. CR 05-00270 MJJ

**~~PROPOSED~~ ORDER CONTINUING STATUS
CONFERENCE UNTIL JUNE 30, 2005, AND
EXCLUDING JUNE 9, 2005 THROUGH JUNE
30, 2005 UNDER SPEEDY TRIAL ACT,
18 U.S.C. § 3161(b)(8)(A),
FOR EFFECTIVE PREPARATION AND
CONTINUITY OF COUNSEL AND UNUSUAL
CASE**

19
20 **PROPOSED ORDER**

- 21 1. On June 8, this Court signed an order continuing the status conference in this case from June
22 9, 2005 to June 30, 2005, at 2:00 p.m., and excluding time from the calculation under the
23 Speedy Trial Act for effective preparation of counsel and unusual case.
- 24 2. On June 29, 2005, due to the unavailability of the Court, this Court, on its own motion,
25 continued the status conference from June 30, 2005 to July 15, 2005.
- 26 3. Government counsel will be in South Carolina during the week of July 11, 2005, including
27 July 15, 2005.
- 28 4. The parties have been engaged in extensive discussions regarding a possible settlement,
which involve a supervised release violation pending against the defendant in another Court.

1 Because of the complexity of the discussions, and the possibility that the parties will have
 2 reached a settlement by the next appearance, this Court finds that substitution of government
 3 counsel for the next appearance is inadvisable.

4 5. This Court finds that this case is unusual and complex because it involves a defendant who is
 5 charged with sending child pornography to the federal prison from which he was released,
 6 shortly after his release, and because the defendant is also facing a supervised release
 7 violation in another Court.

8 6. This Court finds that taking into account the exercise of due diligence, the defense requires
 9 additional time to investigate the alleged supervised release violation and its relationship or
 10 impact on the above-captioned case.

11 7. For good cause shown, this Court therefore orders that the next appearance be scheduled for
 12 July 28, 2005, at 2 p.m., and an exclusion of time between June 30, 2005 and July 28, 2005
 13 from the calculation under the Speedy Trial Act, to allow the parties reasonable time, taking
 14 into account the exercise of due diligence, to investigate and analyze the supervised release
 15 violation in this unusual case; to accommodate the Court's unavailability; to permit
 16 continuity of government counsel, and because the case is so unusual. 18 U.S.C. §
 17 3161(h)(8)(A)&(B)(ii)&(B)(iv). This Court finds that the ends of justice served by this
 18 exclusion outweigh the interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. See 18
 19 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8)(A).

20
 21 IT IS SO ORDERED.

22
 23 DATED: 7/8/2005


 HON. MARTIN J. JENKINS
 United States District Judge

24
 25
 26 (Approved as to form: 
 /s/ David Andersen, Attorney for William Glenn Olsen

27
 28 (Approved as to form: _____
 /s/ AUSA Merry Jean Chan